

START


0022070

ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE

Page 1 of 2

1. ECN 164396

Proj.
ECN

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 2. ECN Category (mark one) Cancel/Void <input type="checkbox"/> | | Supplemental <input type="checkbox"/> | Change ECN <input type="checkbox"/> | Supersedeure <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | Direct Revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Temporary <input type="checkbox"/> | Discovery <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Originator's Name, Organization, MSIN, and Telephone No. D.R. Herman 200 Area Steam & Water Utilities S4-01 3-4069 | | | | 4. Date 4/29/92 |
| 5. Project Title/No./Work Order No. Sampling and Analysis Plan for the 284W Area Powerplant and 277 W Fabrication Shop Process Wastewater Streams | | 6. Bldg./Sys./Fac. No. 284 W Powerplant | | 7. Impact Level <i>1 KB 4/29/92</i> <i>1 X EQ</i> |
| 8. Document Number Affected (include rev. and sheet no.) WHC-SD-WM-PLN-033 REV. <i>XO</i> <i>KFB 4-29-92</i> | | 9. Related ECN No(s). N/A | | 10. Related PO No. N/A |
| 11a. Modification Work <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (fill out Blk. 11b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (NA Blks. 11b, 11c, 11d) | 11b. Work Package Doc. No. N/A | 11c. Complete Installation Work N/A Cog. Engineer Signature & Date | | 11d. Complete Restoration (Temp. ECN only) N/A Cog. Engineer Signature & Date |
| 12. Description of Change Revision to document is from comment incorporation. | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| 13a. Justification (mark one) Design Error/Omission <input type="checkbox"/> | | Criteria Change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Environmental <input type="checkbox"/> | Facilitate Const. <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | Design Improvement <input type="checkbox"/> | As-Found <input type="checkbox"/> | Const. Error/Omission <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 13b. Justification Details New Criteria identified and specified by DOE and internal reviewers. | | | | |
| 14. Distribution (include name, MSIN, and no. of copies) S.A. Brisbin, H4-16, 1 D.R. Herman, S4-01, 1 D.M. Nguyen, R1-48, 1 W.A. White, A7-27, 1 J.E. Rasmussen, A5-15, 1 E. Yusis, S4-01, 1 E.R. Riedel, B4-53, 1 R.W. Oldham, H4-57, 1 | | | | RELEASE STAMP OFFICIAL RELEASE BY WHC DATE APR 29 1992 <i>#10</i> |

A-7900-013-3 (11/88) (EF) GEF096
Engineering Change Notice (Page 2)

SUPPORTING DOCUMENT

1. Total Pages **80**

2. Title

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the 284W Area Powerplant and 277W Fabrication Shop Process Wastewater Streams.

3. Number

WHC-SD-WM-PLN-033

4. Rev No.

Rev 1

5. Key Words

SAP, 284W Powerplant, Sampling Plan

6. Author

Name: D.R. Herman

K Beal for DR Herman 4/29/92
Signature

Organization/Charge Code **J553A 55300/55310** *KB 4/92*

7. Abstract


This Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) establishes the guidelines for providing data to support a waste designation for each liquid effluent.

8. PURPOSE AND USE OF DOCUMENT - This document was prepared for use within the U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors. It is to be used only to integrate work under U.S. Department of Energy contracts. This document is not approved for public release until reviewed.

PATENT STATUS - This document copy, since it is transmitted in advance of patent clearance, is made available in confidence solely for use in performance of work under contracts with the U.S. Department of Energy. This document is not to be published nor its contents otherwise disseminated or used for purposes other than specified above before patent approval for such release or use has been secured, upon request, from the Patent Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy Field Office, Richland, WA.

DISCLAIMER - This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

10. RELEASE STAMP

OFFICIAL RELEASE 
BY WHC
DATE **APR 29 1992**
Sta #10

9. Impact Level 1 EQ

93127530470

RECORD OF REVISION

(1) Document Number

WHC-SD-WM-PLN-033 ~~REV1~~

Page 1

(2) Title

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the 284W Area Powerplant and 277W Fabrication Shop
Process Wastewater Streams

CHANGE CONTROL RECORD

| (3) Revision | (4) Description of Change - Replace, Add, and Delete Pages | Authorized for Release | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| | | (5) Cog./Proj. Engr. | (6) Cog./Proj. Mgr. Date |
| RS 1 | (7) EDT-157742 Rev 0 Complete revision to document in accordance with ECN # 164396 | D.R. Herman K Basel for Dr Herman 9/29/92 | E. Yusis K Basel for (per tele con) 9/29/92 |

93127630471

**Sampling and Analysis Plan for the
284 W Area Powerplant and
277 W Fabrication Shop
Process Wastewater Streams**

April 1992



Hanford Operations and Engineering Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-87RL10930

93127530472

**Sampling and Analysis Plan of the
284 W Area Powerplant and
277 W Fabrication Shop
Process Wastewater Streams**

April 1992

Prepared for Westinghouse Hanford Company
Richland, Washington
Under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Prepared by
CH2M HILL
Bellevue, Washington 98009

for Environmental Management Operations
under a Related Services Agreement
with the U.S. Department of Energy

Environmental Management Operations
Richland, Washington 99352

9 3 1 2 7 5 3 0 4 7 3

ABSTRACT

This Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) addresses the requirements in the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5400.1 (General Environmental Protection Program) and the May 21, 1991, proposed amendments to the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Ecology et al. 1989), otherwise known as the Tri-Party Agreement. This SAP provides descriptions of field procedures and methods that will be used to meet the following objectives:

- provide sufficient data to support a waste designation for each liquid effluent.
- provide data for potential use in developing Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-240 engineering reports for a Washington State Discharge Permit, if needed.
- provide sufficient data on chemical and radiological constituents to assist in making an estimate of constituent loading and their potential rate of migration to support an assessment of potential impacts of continued discharge.
- support process design of wastewater treatment projects, if necessary.

SUMMARY

The objective of the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) is to address the requirements of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5400.1, General Environmental Protection Plan and the May 21, 1991, proposed amendments to the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, commonly called the Tri-Party Agreement. Specific requirements addressed in this SAP include:

- provide sufficient data to support a waste designation for each liquid effluent.
- provide data for potential use in Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-240 engineering reports for a Washington State Discharge Permit, if needed.
- provide sufficient data on chemical and radiological constituents to assist in making an estimate of constituent loading and their potential rate of migration to support an assessment of potential impacts of continued discharge.
- support process design of wastewater treatment projects, if necessary.

This plan describes the 284 W Powerplant and the 277 Fabrication Shop. Sample collection is described, including collection methodology, location of collection points, frequency of collection, equipment decontamination, and chain of custody throughout the process. The plan details specific contaminants to be tested and references the specific testing methodology to be used for each. Radiological screening of samples, data management techniques, and quality assurance methods are discussed.

Previous analytical results are summarized in an appendix.

ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS

| | |
|---------|---|
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| COD | Chemical oxygen demand |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| Ecology | Washington State Department of Ecology |
| EDMC | Environmental Data Management Center |
| EMO | Environmental Management Operations |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ESQA | Environmental Services Quality Assurance |
| gpm | Gallons per minute |
| HEIS | Hanford Environmental Information System |
| HPLC | High performance liquid chromatography |
| HPT | Health physics technician |
| LEMIS | Liquid Effluent Monitoring Information System |
| MCL | Maximum contaminant level |
| MCLG | Maximum contaminant level goal |
| MS/MSD | Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate |
| MSDS | Materials safety data sheet |
| NCR | Nonconformance Report |
| OSM | Office of Sample Management |
| PCB | Polychlorinated biphenyl |
| psi | Pounds per square inch |
| QA | Quality assurance |
| QAPP | Quality Assurance Project Plan |
| QC | Quality control |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| SAP | Sampling and Analysis Plan |
| S&ML | Sampling and mobile laboratories |
| SDWS | Secondary Drinking Water Standards |
| TDS | Total dissolved solids |
| TOC | Total organic carbon |
| TOX | Total organic halogens |
| VOA | Volatile organic analysis |
| WAC | Washington Administrative Code |
| WHC | Westinghouse Hanford Company |

93127530476

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------|
| ABSTRACT | ii |
| SUMMARY | iii |
| ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS | iv |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 1.1 |
| 1.1 SAMPLING OBJECTIVES | 1.2 |
| 1.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES | 1.2 |
| 1.3 SITE BACKGROUND | 1.4 |
| 1.3.1 Process Description | 1.4 |
| 1.3.2 Process Changes | 1.7 |
| 1.3.3 Wastewater Stream Description | 1.8 |
| 2.0 SAMPLING LOCATIONS AND FREQUENCIES | 2.1 |
| 2.1 FIELD APPROACH | 2.1 |
| 2.2 FIELD ACTIVITIES | 2.2 |
| 2.2.1 Field Activity 1 | 2.8 |
| 2.2.2 Field Activity 2 | 2.8 |
| 2.2.3 Field Activity 3 | 2.13 |
| 2.2.4 Field Activity 4 | 2.13 |
| 3.0 SAMPLE COLLECTION, IDENTIFICATION, AND HANDLING | 3.1 |
| 3.1 FIELD PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS | 3.3 |
| 3.2 SAMPLE COLLECTION | 3.4 |
| 3.3 SAMPLE DESIGNATION AND FIELD DOCUMENTATION | 3.7 |

93127630477

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| 3.4 | EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION | 3.8 |
| 3.5 | SAMPLE CUSTODY AND TRANSPORT | 3.10 |
| 3.6 | SPLIT SAMPLES | 3.13 |
| 3.7 | FIELD EQUIPMENT PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE | 3.13 |
| 3.8 | RADIOLOGICAL SCREENING | 3.13 |
| 4.0 | ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS AND PROCEDURES | 4.1 |
| 5.0 | REFERENCES | 5.1 |
| | APPENDIX A. TYPICAL CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY FORM | A.1 |
| | APPENDIX B. EVALUATION OF AVAILABLE DATA | B.1 |
| | APPENDIX C. ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS | C.1 |

93127530478

FIGURES

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 1.1 | Aerial View of 284 W Powerplant | 1.5 |
| 1.2 | Flow Schematic of the 284 W Powerplant | 1.6 |
| 2.1 | Proposed Field Sampling Schedule | 2.7 |
| 3.1 | Wastewater Source Frequencies and Contributors to Total Blowdown | 3.2 |

TABLES

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| 1.1 | Hazardous Chemical Inventory | 1.8 |
| 1.2 | Contributors to Flow into 284 WB Pond | 1.10 |
| 2.1 | Field Activities and Sampling Frequencies | 2.3 |
| 2.2 | Sample Parameters, Holding Times, Containers, and Preservation | 2.11 |
| 3.1 | Sample Locations | 3.1 |
| 3.2 | Analytical Schedule for Field Quality Control Samples | 3.5 |

93127530479

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) addresses the requirements in the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5400.1 (General Environmental Protection Program, DOE 1988) and the May 21, 1991, proposed amendments to the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Ecology et al. 1989), otherwise known as the Tri-Party Agreement. It is the policy of DOE and Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC) to protect the public and the environment through collection of effluent characteristic data that can be compared to the requirements of applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

The SAP provides detailed descriptions of field procedures and methods that will be used to characterize the chemical and radiological constituents of the process wastewater generated by the 284 W Powerplant and the 277 W Fabrication Shop in the Hanford 200 West Area. This plan includes discussions of the following:

- sampling objectives
- project organization and responsibilities
- site background
- rationale for selecting sampling locations and frequencies
- analytical parameters
- field parameter measurements
- radiological screening
- sample collection methodology
- health and safety
- documentation
- equipment decontamination
- sample custody and transport

- data management
- quality assurance.

The SAP is to be used in conjunction with the Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Project Plan (Liquid Effluent QAPP) (WHC 1992 or the most recent update) and an applicable health and safety plan.

1.1 SAMPLING OBJECTIVES

Overall objectives for the SAP are to

- provide sufficient data to support a waste designation for each liquid effluent
- provide data for potential use in developing WAC 173-240 engineering reports for a Washington State Discharge Permit, if needed
- provide sufficient data on chemical and radiological constituents to assist in making an estimate of constituent loading and their potential rate of migration to support an assessment of potential impacts of continued discharge
- support process design of wastewater treatment projects, if necessary.

The sampling program is based on addressing these objectives and has been tailored based on available information (see Section 2). The results of this sampling program should provide reproducible, representative wastewater characterization. Any amendment to this document will be considered a Class III change to the Tri-Party Agreement once this document is approved by the regulatory agencies. Revisions of this document would be under the direction of the facility manager according to standard WHC procedures.

1.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The program manager will be responsible for coordinating this SAP with the other liquid effluent SAPs prepared under the Tri-Party Agreement and for providing support and technical guidance to the facility manager.

93127530481

The facility manager will be responsible for overall execution of the project and for environmental compliance. Responsibilities include planning, staffing, scheduling, and coordinating field activities.

The sampling and analysis task leader will be responsible for coordination of sampling and analysis activities, including scheduling operators and health physics technicians (HPT) to support the sampling team, reviewing field logs and sampling techniques, tracking sample chain-of-custodies and data, and seeing that analytical data is filed with the Environmental Data Management Center (EDMC). The task leader will also assist the facility manager with maintaining site-specific sampling schedules, authorizing changes to the sampling and analysis plan, and keeping management informed of potential impacts of schedule deviations on individual and programwide schedules and budgets.

The sampling team, from Sampling and Mobile Laboratories (S&ML) or a qualified subcontractor, will coordinate directly with operations staff to determine when discharges will be occurring and to schedule sample collection accordingly. Liquid Effluent Monitoring Information System (LEMIS part of the HEIS) staff will provide the sample team with sample labels with a unique sample number. WHC will provide sample bottles.

Protocol samples (hereafter called samples) meeting the quality assurance criteria of SW-846 (EPA 1986) criteria will be collected by S&ML or the designated sampling team. The sampling team will make a written record of the sampling as discussed in Section 3.3. Once the protocol or baseline samples are taken and analyzed, routine monitoring or process samples specific to the process will be identified.

The Office of Sample Management (OSM) or designee will participate in the evaluation and selection of a laboratory or laboratories to perform the needed analysis. These laboratories must meet the criteria of this SAP and the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992).

Environmental samples being sent from the Hanford Site must undergo a radiological screening analysis to monitor radiological activity exceeding the Hanford Site Release Limits. Arrangements will be made with the 222 S laboratory to meet this requirement and to meet the shipping deadline dictated by the shortest analytical holding time listed in Table 2.2 of 24 hours and the shipping schedule at Building 1163. Personnel at Building 1163 will process packaged environmental samples for offsite shipment.

Data resulting from sampling will undergo two levels of review and validation: within the laboratory and outside the laboratory. Initial data reduction, validation, and reporting at the laboratory will be carried out as described in Section 6.2 of this SAP and

the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992). Completed data packages will be validated by OSM, a qualified individual designated by the facility manager, or a qualified subcontractor. The validated data will be stored and managed in a liquid effluent sampling database. Hard copies of validated data will be retained in the Administrative Record Vault by EDMC.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) will have a regulatory oversight role in this project so that the requirements of the Tri-Party Agreement (Ecology et al. 1989) are met. Staff from these regulatory agencies may choose to visit the site, collect split samples during sampling, or visit the analytical laboratory to observe the work being performed.

1.3 SITE BACKGROUND

The Hanford Site is a DOE facility located in Benton County, Washington. The 200 West Area is located in the south-central portion of the Hanford Site, south of the Columbia River. The 284 W Powerplant consists of three processing facilities: the 282 W Reservoir, the 283 W Water Treatment Plant, and the 284 W Powerhouse (see Figure 1.1). The 284 W Powerhouse is a coal-fired steam plant that provides steam for 200 West Area operations. In total, four facilities contribute to the process wastewater stream to be characterized: the three facilities listed above and the 277 W Fabrication Shop. Previously, only the 284 W Powerhouse wastewater was sampled. Processes have changed, and other streams are now included in the liquid effluent. A new baseline needs to be established.


1.3.1 Process Description

Reservoir and Water Treatment Plant

Water drawn from the Columbia River at the 100 B or 100 D Area is pumped to a 25,000,000-gallon reservoir located in the 100 Area. Water is pumped from there to the 3,000,000-gallon 282 W Reservoir then pumped to the 283 W Water Treatment Plant (see Figure 1.2). Alum (aluminum sulfate) is added to the water to neutralize electrically charged suspended particles and colloids. The alum-treated water is then sent through one of five flocculation basins, then into a settling basin. Overflow from the settling basin is filtered through one of four gravity multimedia filters consisting of four layers (ceramic, gravel, sand, and anthracite from bottom to top, respectively). The filters are backflushed four times a month to remove filtered materials or solids. The wastewater flows into the combined wastewater stream that discharges to the 284 WB Percolation

9 3 1 2 7 6 3 0 4 8 4


DISK 63-1 RLO 33069, AQ, S/MEMO-Hanford/Aerial View/4-10-92/JH



Source: WHC-EP-0342, addendum 27, 8/31/90, 284W Powerplant Wastewater, p 1-5, and personal communications, Ditterman, 1992

FIGURE 1.1. Aerial View of 284 W Powerplant

9 3 1 2 7 3 3 0 4 8 5



WHCSD-WM-PLN-033 REV. 1

Figure 1.2. Flow Schematic of the 284 W Powerplant

Pond. The clean, filtered water is then chlorinated and stored in two covered clearwells with a total capacity of 400,000 gallons. This treated water provides potable water to the 200 West Area.

Powerhouse

Sanitary (potable) water from the clear wells is sent to the 284 W Powerhouse where it is treated with sodium sulfite to destroy residual chlorine and then sent through a water softener to remove mineral hardness, i.e., calcium and magnesium. Polyquest™ 683 is added to the water to control corrosion and scale formation prior to introducing the water into the waterside of a coal-fired boiler. The powerhouse provides superheated steam (52° to 54°F above saturation temperature) at 225 pounds per square inch (psi). Super Filameen™ 14 is added to control condensate corrosion in the distribution piping. The steam generated at the 284 W Powerhouse is used in process facilities in the 200 West Area for heating and process operations (e.g., PFP-T Plant).

A continuous boiler blowdown discharges to the wastewater stream to keep the contaminant concentrations in the boiler water at operational levels. Once per shift, the heavier solids in the bottom of the boiler are discharged to the wastewater stream ("mud drum blowdown"). Approximately twice a week, the water softener is regenerated using a salt brine solution created from water from the potable water supply; the used brine is discharged to the wastewater stream.

Fabrication Shop

The 277 W Fabrication Shop, located upstream of the powerhouse, also discharges to the wastewater stream. This shop fabricates metal parts and discharges approximately 4,400 gallons per week of equipment-cooling water to the wastewater stream. Chemicals used in the Fabrication Shop include solvents and oils.

1.3.2 Process Changes

Dearborn™ 4846, 4856, and 4812 were previously used at the 284 W Powerhouse to reduce corrosion and scale formation in the boiler. The Dearborn compounds were replaced with Polyquest™ 683 in 1990. Use of potassium permanganate was discontinued in 1987, and methyl purple indicator replaced barium chloride in 1990. The potential exists for these compounds to appear in the chemical analyses conducted under this SAP, if residuals are present in portions of the piping.

™ Dearborn, Polyquest, and Super Filameen are trademarks of Grace Dearborn.

If compounds are detected that are not readily tied to currently used chemicals, comparison of the analytical results to the materials safety data sheet (MSDS) for these previously used compounds may aid in the interpretation of the data.

1.3.3 Wastewater Stream Description

The 284 W Powerhouse, 283 W Water Treatment Plant, 282 W Reservoir, and 277 W Fabrication Shop contribute to the common process wastewater discharged to the 284 WB Pond (see Figure 1.2). A flow meter installed at the 284 WB Pond shows an average wastewater flow of approximately 117 gallons per minute (gpm) entering the pond, depending on operation functions. This flow rate does not agree with the flows reported by operations; therefore, flow rates of the separate wastewater streams will be measured as a part of the sampling effort. The largest contributors to the discharge at the pond are the reservoir, the powerhouse, and the water treatment plant. Table 1.1 lists hazardous chemicals that may be introduced into the wastewater stream. Table 1.2 presents wastewater contributors, sources, estimated amounts of wastewater contributed by each source, and the approximate frequency of wastewater discharged by each source. Flow rates for wastewater sources at the 284 W Powerhouse will vary seasonally, with higher flow rates due to increased demand for steam heating throughout the 200 West Area during the colder months. Wastewater generated from continuous boiler blow-down, mud drum blowdown, water softener regeneration, and equipment cooling at the 284 W Powerhouse is discharged to the floor trench or directly into floor drains that connect to the 284 WB Pond via vitrified clay pipes.

Table 1.1
Hazardous Chemicals Inventory

| Product Name | Uses | Potentially Hazardous Compounds |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alum | Flocculent | Aluminum sulfate |
| Salt | Water softener | Sodium chloride |
| Chlorine | Disinfectant | Chlorine gas |
| Lead (in gaskets/valves) | In stored pump gaskets, valve packing | Lead |
| Mercury (in instruments) | In stored instruments | Mercury (metallic) |
| Polyquest™ 683 ^a | Boiler water treatment | <4% Potassium hydroxide |
| Sulfuric acid | Battery banks | Sulfuric acid |

^aPolyquest is a trademark of Grace Dearborn and is used as an antiscalant/oxygen scavenger in the boiler water.

Source: WHC 1991, p. 2-12.

At the facilities (or contributors) listed in Table 1.2, potential sources of dangerous or regulated materials are prevented from entering the effluent stream by using administrative and engineering controls. These controls include lock and tag procedures, source reduction (minimization), spill prevention, employee training in hazardous communications, MSDSs, waste handling, and emergency response practices. A detailed description of each effluent contributor follows (WHC 1991).

282 W Reservoir

Water in the reservoir is pumped from the 100 B or 100 D Area River Pump-house. Noncontact cooling water is used to cool the raw water turbine. The cooling water heater condensate and reservoir overflow are not expected to contain any dangerous or regulated materials.

283 W Water Treatment Plant

Water pumped from the 282 W Reservoir is treated with alum, filtered, chlorinated and then stored as a source of potable water in two clearwells. Administrative and engineering controls are used in the plant to prevent sources of water contributing to the effluent stream (listed in Table 1.2) from coming into contact with dangerous or regulated materials. The resulting effluent stream is not believed to be a dangerous waste; however, filter backwash water and basin washdown water are anticipated to be high in suspended solids and metals, including aluminum.

284 W Powerhouse

Sanitary (potable) water from the 283 W Water Treatment Plant is treated with sodium sulfite to destroy residual chlorine and then passed through a water softener to remove calcium and magnesium prior to introducing the water into the boiler. This aids in minimizing scaling on the tube bundles in the boiler. When the resin in the ion exchange column inside the water softener becomes saturated, the resin is regenerated by passing 9 percent sodium chloride solution through the ion exchange column. Lock and tag procedures are used on control valves so that a concentration of not more than 9 percent sodium chloride is used or discharged to the effluent stream.

Noncontact cooling water is used to cool boiler feed pumps, stoker bearings, water jackets, air compressors, and some fans. Because the cooling water does not come into contact with the equipment, it is not anticipated to be a source of concern.

Table 1.2
Contributors to Flow into 284 WB Pond^a

| Contributor | Source | Dis-charge ^d | Estimated Amount Contributed ^e (flow rate during discharge) |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| 282 W Reservoir | Cooling water | C | 350 gpm |
| | Pump strainer back flush water | B | |
| | Heater condensate | C | |
| | Reservoir overflow | B | 7,000 gpm |
| 283 W Water Treatment Plant | Filter backwash ^b | B | 2,333 gpm/filter x 4 filters x 4 backwash/month (70,000 gal./ 30 min./filter x 4 filters x 4 backwash/month) |
| | Floor drains | B | |
| | Heater condensate | C | |
| | Cooling water | C | |
| | Basin wash down water | B | |
| | Clearwell overflow | B | |
| | Basin overflow | B | |
| | Water testing and sampling station | B | |
| | Continuous turbidity meter | C | |
| 284 W Powerhouse | Cooling water | C | 50 gpm with 2 boilers online (2,200,000 gal/month with 2 boilers online) |
| | Continuous blowdown ^b | C | 9 to 21 gpm (varies from 25,000 pound/hr steam load using 540 gal/hr, to 60,000 pound/hr steam load using 1,246 gal/hr) |
| | Mud drum blowdown ^c | C | 1.14 gpm/blowdown (50,000 gal/month) |
| | Water softener regeneration ^b | B | 56 to 67 gpm 8x month (10,000- 12,000 gal/3 hr 8x month) |
| | Steam heater condensate | C | |
| 277 W Fabrication Shop | Floor drains | B | Volume unknown but drains washed down 3-4 time/year |
| | Cooling water | C | .44 gpm (2,090 gal/wk + 2,400 gal/ wk = 4,400 gal/wk total) |
| | Steam jet condensate | B | |
| | Fire water blowdown | B | 50 gal/year |
| | Hydrotesting | B | 10,000 gallons/test |

^aAll flow estimates taken from WHC 1990.

^bBased on information supplied by plant operations. There are four filters in 283 W Water Treatment Plant.

^cBased on 50,000 gal/month (information provided by plant operations).

^dIdentification of discharge type: B = batch, C = continuous.

^eFlow quantities not shown are nominal.

To minimize potential sources of dangerous or regulated wastes from entering the floor drains located throughout the powerhouse, pump wells (sumps) have been plugged, and floor drains within 5 feet of any pump have been plugged.

During steam production, minerals not removed in the water softener process collect in the boiler. Two separate blowdown operations are used to remove these minerals: continuous and mud drum. Continuous blowdown is ongoing anytime a boiler is in operation. A sample of the continuous blowdown will be indicative of the quality of steam leaving the boiler and will be composed of a mixture of steam and water. Mud drum blowdown occurs once per shift to remove solids which have accumulated in the boiler. Blowdown effluent contains the antiscaling and oxygen scavenging compound Polyquest™ 683, which is not considered a dangerous waste after introduction into the process. This is based on the concentration used when this chemical is mixed with water in the mixing tanks prior to entrance into the boiler.

277 W Fabrication Shop

The floors in the machine shop and boiler shop are washed down three to four times per year. Water used for hydro testing is also discharged to the floor drains. Administrative controls are used to keep cutting oils and machine oils from being discharged to the floor drains.

Cooling water is used to continually cool the welding machine and compressor in the 277 W Machine Shop. A steam jet is occasionally used which produces steam condensate. Fire water blowdown occurs once per year and consists of discharging approximately 50 gallons of potable water.

93127530490

2.0 SAMPLING LOCATIONS AND FREQUENCIES

2.1 FIELD APPROACH

Sampling locations were chosen based on process knowledge, the configuration of the wastewater discharge piping, and probable accessibility. These sampling locations are also strategically located on the effluent streams from particular operations. The time of sample collection will be directly related to the various operations and a predetermined periodic, sampling schedule. The sampling team will coordinate with operations staff so that the samples are taken at the proper time during the discharges (as described below).

Eight sampling locations have been chosen based on the configuration of discharge lines from the different processes contributing to the overall discharge. A description of these processes and their wastewater streams, a map (Figure 1.1), and a flow schematic of the 284 W Powerplant area showing sampling locations (Figure 1.2) are given in Section 1. The eight sampling locations consist of an open concrete tank (the reservoir, sample location 1), four manholes (sample locations 2, 3, 7, and 8), two drainline sample taps (sample locations 4 and 5), and one drainline which does not currently have a drainline sample tap available (sample location 6). In order to sample mud drum blowdown separately from the other wastewater sources, a drainline sample tap would be needed at sample location 6; given the existing conditions, sample location 3 will be sampled. Sample location 3 is less desirable because it is downstream of sample location 6, after the mud drum blowdown has mixed with other wastewater streams.

The field effort to characterize the 284 W Powerplant wastewater has been phased into four sequential field activities:

- Field Activity 1 will confirm that proposed sample locations are accessible and appropriate for wastewater stream sample collection. In the event that a location does not correspond to the available facility plan, alternative sampling locations will be identified.
- Field Activity 2 tasks will focus on two objectives over two sequential weeks: collecting field measurements and evaluating a combined discharge. During the first week, flow rates and field parameters (conductivity, pH and dissolved oxygen) will be measured for each of the major wastewater streams and in the common discharge. These data will verify

that changes in field parameters (specifically, conductivity) track batch discharges downstream.

Assuming the first week's activities confirm that changes in field parameters result from batch wastewater discharges, then in the second week an attempt will be made to stagger the discharges so that they arrive at sample location 7 at the same time. Field parameters will be used to verify that two sets of wastewater samples were taken during the coincided batch discharges; these samples will be analyzed for a full set of chemical parameters. Chemical results from this chemical sampling, in conjunction with wastestream data, should identify a subset of chemical analytes which are neither expected nor detected in the wastewater process streams. These nondetected analytes will not be quantified in successive sampling.

- Field Activity 3 will extend sampling frequency to weekly events over a one month period. Chemical analyses will be limited to the expected or detected analytes identified in Field Activity 2.
- Field Activity 4 will extend sampling frequency to four sequential quarters. Chemical analyses will be limited the same subset of analytes identified during the initial chemical characterization sampling in Field Activity 2.

Table 2.1 details the specific tasks to be performed during each of the four field activities. The following discussions describe the rationale for the phased approach and for the proposed locations and frequencies.

2.2 FIELD ACTIVITIES

The field effort consists of 4 Field Activities to evaluate the effectiveness of this sampling scheme (see Table 2.1 for sampling details). A schedule for the field effort is shown in Figure 2.1.

Table 2.1
Field Activities and Sampling Frequencies

Page 1 of 4

| Field Activity | Data/Samples Collected ^a /Frequencies |
|--------------------------|---|
| Field Activity 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct dye studies to verify the source of wastewater for sample locations 2 and 8 (see Figure 1.3 and Table 3.1). Release dye into the process piping near the discharge point, upstream from the sample location and see if the dye shows up at the sample location. • Verify access to sample locations 3 and 7. |
| Field Activity 2, Week 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measure flow and field parameters^b only for 7 days. No samples will be collected for chemical analyses. • Collect flow data. • At sample locations 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8 (the reservoir and manholes), use DataSondeTM 3 data loggers or similar equipment to collect continuous field parameter^b data every 30 minutes. • At sample locations 4 and 5 (drainline sample taps), use portable field instruments to collect manual measurements of field parameters^b every 15 to 30 minutes from 1 hour before batch wastewater discharge begins, continuing through to 1 hour after the batch wastewater discharge ends. If the sample tap is on a continuous discharge (e.g. sample location 4), then samples should be taken every hour. Drainline sample taps should be opened for 1 minute prior to taking the manual measurement (see Section 3.1). • Coordinate with the operations manager to determine when batch wastewater discharges will occur and how long they last. • Examine the field parameter^b data at the end of the week to see if each wastewater batch discharge can be detected by its conductivity and if each batch discharge can be detected at sample location 7 by its conductivity. |

TMDataSonde is a registered trademark of Hydrolab Corporation.

Table 2.1
Field Activities and Sampling Frequencies

Page 2 of 4

| Field Activity | Data/Samples Collected ^a /Frequencies |
|--------------------------|--|
| Field Activity 2, Week 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measure flow and field parameters^b for 7 days, as in Field Activity 2, Week 1. Measure these concurrently with the sampling described below. • Determine which wastewater batch discharges can be detected at sample location 7 using conductivity. Those batch wastewater discharges that cannot be detected at sample location 7 will need to be sampled individually (i.e. at sample locations 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8, as appropriate) for the chemical analysis. • Coordinate directly with operations staff at 282 W Reservoir, 283 W Water Treatment Plant, 284 W Powerhouse, and 277 W Fabrication Shop to discharge all continuous and batch wastewater discharges so that the batch discharges reach sample location 7 at the same time. The time lag needed for the wastewater to travel through the piping system can be figured out based on the results of Field Activity 2, Week 1. This combined discharge is the wastewater discharge pulse that will be sampled at sample location 7. • Collect wastewater samples (24-hour composite, if possible, and grab^c) using an ISCO 3700 sampler or similar equipment and a bailer one hour before the pulse or wastewater discharge continuing until one hour after the end of each pulse or wastewater discharge. Analyze samples collected for one hour before and for one hour after the pulse or wastewater discharge for routine parameters only (indicated with an R in Table 2.2). Analyze samples collected during the pulse or wastewater discharge for all the parameters listed in Table 2.2. • Take two rounds of samples during the week. • Review the analytical results to determine which parameters are of interest and the location of the sources of interest. |

93127330494

Table 2.1
Field Activities and Sampling Frequencies

Page 3 of 4

| Field Activity | Data/Samples Collected ^a /Frequencies |
|------------------|--|
| Field Activity 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measure field parameters at the eight sample locations during sampling events. • Collect wastewater samples from the sources of interest determined in Field Activity 2, Week 2 (sample location 7 and other sample locations, as discussed above). • Use the appropriate sample collection methodology for the type of location (tank, manhole, drainline sample tap) and sample type (24-hour composite or grab^c). • Collect samples once a week for 1 month (i.e., four rounds of samples) during a single pulse and/or batch wastewater discharge each week (coordinated by the sample team with operations staff as described above). • Samples collected for 1 hour before and for 1 hour after each pulse or batch wastewater discharge will be analyzed for routine parameters (designated with an R in Table 2.2) only. Samples collected during the pulse or batch wastewater discharge will be analyzed for routine parameters and a subset of Appendix IX parameters (chosen on the basis of the analytical results from Field Activity 2, Week 2). • Examine the results to determine which sources of interest need further study. Any parameters not detected during Field Activity 2 and 3 will be eliminated from the parameters list for Field Activity 4. |
| Field Activity 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measure field parameters at the eight sample locations during sampling events. • Collect one set of wastewater samples once per quarter (for example, January, April, July, and October) for 1 year at the same locations used in Field Activity 3 using the same collection methods. |

Table 2.1
Field Activities and Sampling Frequencies

Page 4 of 4

| Field Activity | Data/Samples Collected ^a /Frequencies |
|--|--|
| Field Activity 4 (continued) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples collected 1 hour before and 1 hour after a pulse or batch wastewater discharge will be analyzed for any previously detected (in Field Activity 2 and/or 3) routine parameters only. Samples collected during the pulse or batch wastewater discharge will be analyzed for previously detected routine parameters (as described above) and a subset of previously detected (in Field Activity 2 and/or 3) Appendix IX parameters. |
| <p>^aSample location 6 is the preferred location for sampling mud drum blowdown before it is mixed with other wastewater stream. However, since no sample tap is available at sample location 6, sample location 3 will be used to sample mud drum blowdown after it is combined with other wastewaters from the powerhouse.</p> <p>^bField parameters consist of conductivity, pH, and dissolved oxygen.</p> <p>^cAt manhole locations (1, 2, 3, 7, and 8) grab samples are volatile organics, sulfide, fecal and total coliform, total organic halogens (TOX), and onsite radiation screen samples. At sample tap locations (4 and 5) grab samples are collected for all the parameters listed in Table 2.2.</p> | |

9 3 1 2 7 5 3 0 4 9 7

2.7

| | MONTH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | WEEK 1 | WEEK 2 | WEEK 3 | WEEK 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Field Activity 1 Dye Test | ■ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Field Activity 2, Week 1 Field Parameters (7 days, continuous readings) | | ■ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Field Activity 2, Week 2 Field Parameters and Lab Analysis (2 sets of samples) | | | ■ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Field Activity 3 Field Parameters and Modified Lab Analysis * (1 set of samples per week) | | | | | | | ■ | | | | | | | | | |
| Field Activity 4 Field Parameters and Modified Lab Analysis (1 set of samples per quarter) | | | | | | | | | | ■ | | ■ | | ■ | | ■ |

WHC-SD-WM-PLN-033 REV. 1

Figure 2.1. Proposed Field Sampling Schedule

2.2.1 Field Activity 1

Because of the age of the facility, some of the available drawings did not adequately indicate whether certain manholes were tied to the discharge lines that need to be sampled. Consequently, some of the chosen sampling locations need to be checked before samples can be taken. A dye study will be performed to verify that the sample location is a strategic point on the wastewater discharge (e.g. is the manhole chosen for sampling actually located on the discharge line in question?).

Field Activity 1 consists of conducting a dye study in the sewer lines to see if the manholes selected as sample locations 2 and 8 are tied to the discharge line in question. Access to sample locations 3 and 7 will be verified.

2.2.2 Field Activity 2

A review of existing data (see Appendix B) indicated that variations in constituent concentrations could be tracked by measuring the conductivity of the wastewater discharges. In other words, higher chemical constituent concentrations appear to result in higher conductivities. This finding is consistent with knowledge of the wastewater streams that discharge from the 284 W Powerplant area. These wastewater discharges would be expected to have concentrations of salts and solids above background levels. Assuming that the wastewater constituents are fairly consistent from discharge to discharge (a reasonable assumption given the nature of the discharges), the magnitude of the change in conductivity for a given wastewater discharge is expected to be consistent from discharge to discharge over time. Therefore, tracking conductivity should provide a reliable indicator parameter for tracking overall changes in the other constituents of the discharges.

2.2.2.1 Week 1

Because individual wastewater discharges should have different conductivity magnitudes and different batch discharge cycles, the individual batch wastewater discharges should be able to be monitored by measuring conductivity downstream, after several of the discharges come together. Field Activity 2, Week 1 evaluates the effects of the various wastewater discharges on conductivity close to the discharge point (sample locations 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8) and at the "end of pipe" near the percolation pond (sample location 7). Sample location 3 is an intermediate location within the power-

house discharge and would probably be used to test mud drum blowdown because proposed sample location 6 does not have a drainline sample tap. Sample location 1 is the background sample location.

In Field Activity 2, Week 1, wastewater flow and field parameters (conductivity, pH, and dissolved oxygen) will be measured for one week during routine operations of the powerplant area. This set of measurements will be used to verify whether conductivity can be used to track the batch discharges. Flow and the field parameters will be measured at, or near, the points of wastewater generation (sample locations 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8). These results will be compared to measurements taken at the "end of pipe" location (sample location 7). If a change in conductivity for each of the wastewater discharges can be detected at sample location 7, then subsequent samples would be justifiably taken from sample location 7 for "end of pipe" samples. Sample locations whose associated discharges cannot be detected and measured at the "end of pipe" would be sampled separately.

During the above evaluation, field parameters will be measured continuously using a data logger (e.g. DataSonde™ 3) at sample locations 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8, where the probe can be continuously submerged. At the other locations, measurements will be taken manually every 15 to 30 minutes because data loggers cannot be used at these locations. The sampling team will coordinate with operations to find out when the batch discharges that must be manually monitored will occur. In the case of the manual monitoring, measurements will be taken one hour before, during, until one hour after batch wastewater discharges take place (see Table 2.1).

2.2.2.1 Week 2

Analysis of the field measurements (flow, conductivity, pH, and dissolved oxygen) should show when the batch discharges were occurring, how long it took a given batch discharge to reach a downstream sampling location, and if the batch discharge can be tracked by conductivity at a downstream sampling location. The field measurements will be evaluated to determine if conductivity can be used as an indicator parameter. The sample locations for chemical analysis in Field Activity 2, Week 2 would be chosen based on how far downstream conductivity can be used to track the individual batch wastewater discharge.

In Field Activity 2, Week 2 of the sampling program, the 284 W Powerplant area processes will be coordinated so that the individual process discharges will arrive at the "end of pipe" sample location 7 at the same time. This combined discharge is

the wastewater discharge "pulse". Field measurements will continue to be taken at sample locations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 during Field Activity 2, Week 2 so that conductivity can be used to trace the time the individual wastewaters were discharged.

Samples will be taken before, during, and after the combined discharge. The samples taken during this combined discharge should be representative of the greatest total amount of dissolved solids and highest flow rate that could discharge to the environment at any one time, assuming that operations do not change significantly. During this activity, samples will be taken that are either grab samples or composite samples depending on what is appropriate for a specific sample location or parameter (e.g. all volatile organics samples will be grab samples). The total discharge pulse will be sampled twice at the selected sample locations before, during, and after the discharge so that a representative sample and a "backup" sample are obtained. Analytical data from the two samples will be compared to determine if the samples are consistent. If this sampling does not adequately characterize the wastewaters, more samples will be taken over a longer period of time to more fully characterize the wastewater.

Samples collected before and after each pulse will be sent to an analytical laboratory for routine analyses as indicated with an **R** in Table 2.2. Routine analysis parameters are those expected to be in the wastewater stream based on available process knowledge and chemical data (see Table 2.2). Samples collected during each pulse will be analyzed for all constituents listed in Table 2.2, including the full 40 CFR 264 Appendix IX list of chemical constituents. A total of six samples (four before and after the pulse for routine analyses and two during the pulse for the entire Table 2.2 list of constituents) would be collected from sample location 7 and analyzed in Field Activity 2, Week 2. Other sample locations may also need to be sampled (see previous discussion). The results will establish a constituent baseline for the combined wastewater discharge. The results also will be used to determine if further testing and evaluation of the individual wastewater sources is needed.

If the analytical results from the combined discharges do not exceed applicable regulatory concentrations, then it is assumed that the combined discharge can be monitored. If the analytical results show concentrations above applicable levels, then additional sampling on those discharge streams showing the highest conductivity may be needed to determine the actual concentration in the wastewater stream causing the exceedences.

Table 2.2
Sample Parameters, Holding Times, Containers, and Preservatives

Page 1 of 2

| Parameter | R ^c | Holding Time Extract/Analysis | Sample Container ^a | Preservative (cooled) | Method ^b |
|--|----------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 40 CFR 264 Appendix IX | | | | | |
| Total cyanide | | NA/14 days | 1/2 gal. plastic | NaOH | EPA 335.2 |
| Sulfide | | NA/7 days | 500 ml. poly | NaOH + ZnAc | EPA 376.1 |
| Metals ^c | R | NA/6 mos., except Hg 28 days | 1 l. poly | HNO ₃ , pH<2 | SW 6010/7000 series |
| Volatile organics (Appendix IX) and ethylene glycol | | NA/14 days | 40 ml. vial with Teflon™ septum | HCl, pH<2 ^c | SW 8240 |
| Semivolatile organics (Appendix IX) and hydrazine and citrus red No. 2 | | 7/40 days | 2 l. amber glass w/Teflon™-lined cap | None ^c | SW 8270 |
| Pesticides/PCBs | | 7/40 days | 2 l. amber glass w/Teflon™-lined cap | None | SW 8080 |
| Organophosphate pesticides | | 7/40 days | 2 l. amber glass w/Teflon™-lined cap | None | SW 8140 |
| Chlorinated herbicides | | 7/40 days | 2 l. amber glass w/Teflon™-lined cap | None | SW 8150 |
| Dioxins/furans | | 7/40 days | 2 l. amber glass w/Teflon™-lined cap | None | SW 8280 |
| Field Parameters | | | | | |
| pH | R | NA/analyze immediately | 2 oz. poly or glass | None | SW 9040 |
| Conductivity | R | NA/28 days | 250 ml. poly or glass | None | SW 9050 |
| Water Quality | | | | | |
| Total Organic Carbon (TOC) | R | NA/28 days | 250 ml. poly or glass | H ₂ SO ₄ , pH<2 | SW 9060 |
| Total organic halogens (TOX) | | NA/7 days | 500 ml. amber glass w/Teflon™-lined cap | H ₂ SO ₄ , pH<2 | SW 9020 |
| Turbidity | R | NA/48 hours | 100 ml. poly or glass | None | EPA 180 |

Table 2.2
Sample Parameters, Holding Times, Containers, and Preservatives

Page 2 of 2

| Parameter | R ^e | Holding Time Extract/Analysis | Sample Container ^a | Preservative (cooled) | Method ^b |
|---|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Water Quality | | | | | |
| Fecal coliform | | NA/30 hours ^d | 100 ml. amber glass | None ^c | SM 908C |
| Total coliform | | NA/30 hours ^d | 100 ml. amber glass | None ^c | SM 908A |
| Alkalinity | R | NA/14 days | 250 ml. poly or glass | HCl, pH<2 | EPA 310.1 |
| Total phenols | | NA/28 days | 500 ml. glass | None | EPA 420.1 |
| Chemical oxygen demand (COD) | R | NA/28 days | 100 ml. poly or glass | H ₂ SO ₄ , pH<2 | EPA 410.1 |
| Fluoride | R | NA/28 days | 250 ml. poly | None | EPA 340.2 |
| Chloride | R | NA/28 days | 250 ml. poly or glass | None | EPA 325.3 |
| Sulfate | R | NA/28 days | 250 ml. poly or glass | None | EPA 375.1 |
| Sulfite | R | NA/24 hours | 250 ml. poly or glass | None | EPA 377.1 |
| Nitrate | R | NA/48 hours | 250 ml. poly or glass | None | EPA 352.1 |
| Nitrite | R | NA/48 hours | 250 ml. poly or glass | None | EPA 354.1 |
| Ammonia | R | NA/28 days | 8 oz. poly or glass | H ₂ SO ₄ , pH<2 | EPA 350.2 |
| Amenable cyanide | | NA/14 days | 1 l. poly or glass | NaOH, pH>12 ^c | EPA 335.1 |
| Radiological | | | | | |
| Gross alpha/beta | R | NA/6 mos. | 500 ml. poly | HNO ₃ , pH<2 | SW 9310 |
| Onsite rad. screen | | | 100 ml. poly | None | |
| ^a Containers should be precleaned glass or polyethylene with necessary preservatives added. Volumes may change based on the requirements of the laboratory performing the analysis. ^b Methods are from EPA 1986, EPA 1983, or APHA 1989. ^c These parameters are sensitive to residual chlorine. If chlorine is present, the volatile and semi-volatile organic samples and the fecal and total coliform samples must be preserved with Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ and the cyanide sample with ascorbic acid. ^d If it is not possible to meet the holding time requirement, the laboratory will be instructed to run these tests as soon as practical after receipt of the sample. ^e R = Routine analysis parameter. TM Teflon TM is a trademark of E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co. Inc. | | | | | |

2.2.3 Field Activity 3

Once conductivity has been established as a characteristic that can be used to track the general quality of the batch discharges and a conductivity baseline has been established for the various discharges, conductivity can be used as the long-term routine monitoring parameter. If conductivity is measured in the field during routine discharges and a conductivity higher than the baseline is seen, it would be easy for the person measuring the discharge to take a sample for laboratory analysis. The constituents for laboratory analysis would be chosen based on the results of the long term sampling in Field Activities 3 and 4.

Field Activity 3 of the sampling plan examines the variability of the field measurements, flow, and detected constituents in the combined wastewater stream. The extent of anomalies, if detected, will be observed by collecting samples and analyzing them for routine analysis parameters (see Table 2.2) as well as a corroborative subset of 40 CFR 264 Appendix IX constituents. The subset of Appendix IX constituents will be chosen based on analytical results from Field Activity 2, Week 2. The samples will be taken before, during, and after process pulses once a week for one month. Samples should be taken on different days of the week during the month to randomize the samples. A total of twelve samples (eight from before and after the pulses for routine analysis parameters and four from during the pulse for a subset of the Table 2.2 constituents) will be collected from sample location 7 and analyzed in Field Activity 3. Other sample locations may also need to be sampled (see Field Activity 2, Week 2). The results then will be evaluated; additional chemical constituents may be removed from the analysis list based on this evaluation.

Field parameters will continue to be taken at sample locations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 during Field Activity 3 so that conductivity can be used to trace when each of the individual wastewaters discharged.

2.2.4 Field Activity 4

Field Activity 4 continues the wastewater evaluation by investigating the differences that may occur during the course of the year. Samples will be collected quarterly and analyzed for routine and constituents and the subset of Appendix IX constituents that results from Field Activity 3. Samples should be collected on different days of the week and different weeks in the month to randomize the analytical data. A total of eight samples for routine analysis and four for a subset of the Table 2.2 constituents will be collected from sample location 7 and analyzed in Field

Activity 4. Other sample locations may also need to be sampled (see Field Activity 2, Week 2). Field parameters will continue to be taken at sample locations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 during Field Activity 4 so that conductivity can be used to trace when each of the individual wastewaters discharged. As previously stated, this sampling plan is designed to meet the objectives listed in Section 1.1.

3.0 SAMPLE COLLECTION, IDENTIFICATION, AND HANDLING








Proposed sampling locations are listed in Table 3.1.

| Table 3.1 Sample Locations ^a | |
|---|---|
| Location | Sample Point |
| 1 | Open concrete reservoir, 282 W Reservoir |
| 2 | Manhole, outside 283 W Water Treatment Plant |
| 3 | Manhole, downstream, 284 W Powerhouse combined effluent |
| 4 | Drainline sample tap, 2nd floor 284 W Powerhouse continuous blowdown |
| 5 | Drainline sample tap, ground floor 284 W Powerhouse water softener blowdown |
| 6 | Preferred location for sampling mud drum blowdown; however, sample port unavailable |
| 7 | Manhole, above discharge to Pond 284 WB (end of pipe) |
| 8 | Manhole, outside 277 W Fabrication Shop |
| ^a Locations shown in Figure 1.2. | |

Figure 3.1 illustrates the frequency, duration, and volume of each of the processes contributing wastewater to the 284 WB Percolation Pond. This figure is important because it illustrates the cyclical nature of the wastewater discharges. The sampling team will coordinate directly with operations staff to determine when discharges will be occurring and to schedule sample collection accordingly. Doing this will help determine when and if all the processes can be discharged at one time during Week 2 of Field Activity 2.

Wastewater samples and field data will be collected as follows, based on available information (see Table 2.1 for more information):

- Field Activity 1—Perform a dye study to determine if sample locations 2 and 8 are valid sampling locations, and verify access to manholes at sample locations 3 and 7.



COMMON DISCHARGE TO 284 WB PERCOLATION POND

FIGURE 3.1. Wastewater Source Frequencies and Contributors to Total Blowdown

9 3 1 2 7 5 3 0 5 0 6

- Field Activity 2, Week 1—Measure field parameters only (pH, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen) at five locations (sample locations 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8) for 1 week. Flow monitoring equipment will be used to record flow data.
- Field Activity 2, Week 2—Samples for chemical analysis will be taken 1 hour before, during, and 1 hour after each combined wastewater discharge pulse. This sampling will occur twice during the week. Samples collected before and after the pulse will be analyzed for routine parameters; samples collected during the pulse will be analyzed for the analytes listed in Table 2.2. Flow data and field parameters will also be collected.
- Field Activity 3—Samples collected for chemical analysis will be taken before, during, and after the combined wastewater discharge pulse. This sampling will happen once a week for 1 month. Samples collected before and after the pulse will be analyzed for routine parameters; samples taken during the pulse will be analyzed for analytes of interest based on the results of Field Activity 2, Week 2. Field parameters will also be collected.
- Field Activity 4—Samples will be collected and analyzed as in Field Activity 3, except that the samples will be collected once per quarter for four quarters to verify the consistency of the discharge. Field parameters will also be collected.

Field parameters will be monitored continuously using a DataSonde™ 3 or equivalent data logger (assuming there is sufficient water to submerge the data logger probe). The DataSonde™ 3 is a submersible, multiparameter water quality probe and data logger manufactured by Hydrolab Corporation. The probes will be installed in the manholes so that flow is not obstructed. Confined space entry will comply with the Industrial Safety Manual (WHC 1988). Flow data will also be collected and recorded in the field logbook at those sample locations where it can be collected. Sample locations 4 and 5 will be monitored manually for field parameters at specified intervals before, during, and after batch wastewater discharges using portable field monitoring equipment.

3.1 FIELD PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS

The DataSonde™ 3 or similar equipment will be used at five locations (see Table 2.1) to continuously monitor pH, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen. The unit is designed to remain in service for extended periods of time. The DataSonde™ 3 or similar

™ DataSonde is a registered trademark of Hydrolab Corporation.

93127630507

equipment will be field calibrated and checked against standards according to the manufacturer's specifications at the beginning and end of Week 1 of Field Activity 2. Information from the self-contained data logger will be downloaded using a PC communications program (like ProComm Plus) to a field computer at the end of the week. Calibration measurements will be recorded in the bound field logbook.

Samples from the drainline sample taps will be collected 15 to 30 minutes from 1 hour before each batch discharge until 1 hour after each batch discharge. If the sample tap is on a continuous discharge (e.g., sample location 4), then samples should be taken every hour. Prior to sample collection, the drainline sample taps at 4 and 5 will be opened, and water will be discharged into a container for at least 1 minute to remove any debris or contaminants from the sample taps themselves. A clean cup or beaker will then be filled and used for field parameter measurements. Field parameters will be measured immediately after sample collection. The monitoring probes will be rinsed with a distilled water spray prior to each measurement. The field meters used for these measurements will be calibrated daily in accordance with manufacturers' specifications (which will be kept with each instrument) using appropriate standard solutions. Field parameters and calibration measurements will be recorded in the bound field logbook. Refer to Section 7 of the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992) for quality assurance procedures for calibrating field instruments.

3.2 SAMPLE COLLECTION

During Week 2 of Field Activity 2, 24-hour composite samples will be collected at selected sample location(s) using dedicated programmable ISCO™ 3700 samplers or similar equipment. Sample locations will be selected on the basis of field parameter data collected during Week 1 of Field Activity 2. The ISCO™ 3700 sampler(s) can be programmed to collect a specific sample volume at a specific time interval based on process information. ISCO's™ dedicated Teflon™ suction line with an attached stainless steel strainer will be submerged in the flow at the bottom of the manhole(s) so that flow is not obstructed. The manholes will be monitored for radiation prior to entry. Installing the ISCO™ suction line and strainer in the manhole requires confined space entry and will comply with the Industrial Safety Manual WHC-CM-4-3 (WHC 1988). A 120-volt, 60-Hz power supply is necessary to operate the ISCO™ unit(s).

™ ISCO is a registered trademark of ISCO, Inc.

™ Teflon is a registered trademark of E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Company.

Grab samples at selected sampling locations will be collected directly from drain-line sample taps or with dedicated stainless steel bailers with Teflon™ check valves. Bailers will be lowered on a monofilament line into the wastestream using a cord reel. The full bailer will be retrieved, and a Teflon™ stopcock will be inserted at the check valve so that flow from the bailer can be controlled. Likewise, the drainline sample tap will be used to reduce the flow rate when collecting samples for volatile organic analysis to minimize aeration of the sample and possible volatilization of organic compounds.

Equipment decontamination procedures and the disposal of contaminated materials is discussed in Subsection 3.4, Equipment Decontamination.

Field quality control samples will be collected as part of the field sampling effort. Field quality control samples will include equipment blanks, field blanks, trip blanks, and duplicate samples. The analytical schedule for the field quality control samples is shown in Table 3.2. The frequency of quality control sample collection is described below and discussed further in Section 10.0 of the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992).

Table 3.2
Analytical Schedule for Field Quality Control Samples

| Parameter Group ^a | Sample Type | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Duplicates | Field Blanks | Equipment Blanks | Trip Blanks |
| Volatile Organics ^a | X | X | X | X |
| Semivolatile Organics ^a | X | | X | |
| Pesticides and PCBs ^a | X | | X | |
| Herbicides ^a | X | | X | |
| Dioxins and Furans ^a | X | | X | |
| Metals ^a | X | | X | |
| Radionuclides ^b | X | | X | |
| Field Parameters ^b | X | | | |
| General Parameters ^c | X | | X | |
| ^a Individual constituents in each parameter group are presented in Tables C.1 through C.7 in Appendix C. ^b See Table 2.2. ^c See Table C.7. | | | | |

- Field Duplicates—For each phase of sampling activity, a minimum of 5 percent of the total collected samples will be duplicated. Duplicate samples will be retrieved from the same sampling location using the same equipment and sampling technique and will be placed into two sets of identically prepared and preserved containers. All field duplicates will be analyzed independently as an indication of gross errors in sampling techniques.
- Field Blanks—Field blanks will consist of pure deionized distilled water, transferred into a sample container at the site, and preserved with the reagent specified for the analytes of interest. Field blanks are used as a check on reagent and environmental contamination and will be collected at a minimum frequency of 5 percent of the total number of samples taken.
- Equipment Blanks—Equipment blanks will consist of pure high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade distilled water washed through decontaminated sampling equipment and placed in containers identical to those used for actual field samples. Equipment blanks are used to verify the adequacy of sampling equipment decontamination procedures and will be collected at a minimum frequency of 5 percent of the total number of samples taken.
- Trip Blanks—Trip blanks consist of pure deionized distilled water added to one or two clean septum-sealed vials, accompanying each batch of containers shipped to the sampling activity. Trip blanks will be prepared by the laboratory, stored with the samples, and returned unopened to the laboratory. Trip blanks are a check on possible volatile organic compound contamination originating from container preparation methods, shipment, handling, storage, or site conditions. Trip blanks will accompany each shipment of samples scheduled for volatile organic analysis. Trip blanks will be prepared and analyzed at a minimum frequency of 5 percent. However, the project manager or laboratory personnel may elect to analyze additional trip blanks if unusually high or otherwise unexpected concentrations of volatile organics are detected in the field samples.
- MS/MSD—One matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate will be collected from each batch or each 20 samples, whichever is greater, and used for the laboratory's internal quality control/quality assurance checks.

Sample collection during Field Activities 3 and 4 will use similar methodology. See Table 2.1 for details.

3.3 SAMPLE DESIGNATION AND FIELD DOCUMENTATION

Sample bottles will be tracked from the point of sample origin to the laboratory in accordance with a chain-of-custody system described in Subsection 3.5, Sample Custody and Transport. A unique sample number will be obtained from LEMIS. The general numbering method will be as follows:

XX - nnnn

Where: XX = Sample point designation
 nnnn = Computer-generated sequential serial number

The bottles will be labeled with these numbers. Also, each bottle will be identified with a bar code sticker attached to the bottle by the bottle manufacturer. The bar code will identify the bottle lot number and individual bottle number. Additional information recorded on the label will include:

- time sample was collected to the nearest minute using a 24-hour clock (military time system)
- analysis
- preservative
- customer
- sampler's initials and name printed
- type and matrix of sample (i.e., grab or composite, water or wastewater).

The sampling team shall maintain a written record of sampling activities and field observations in a bound field logbook and recorded on a Sample Authorization Form. All logbook entries shall be completed in nonerasable ink. Any required corrections to the information in the logbook will be made by drawing a line through the erroneous information, entering the correct information, and initialing and dating the change. The erroneous information should remain legible.

At a minimum, the following information should be noted in the bound field logbook:

- all information required under Section 6.1 of the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992)
- field observations (e.g., temperature, windy day, dusty day, raining)
- sampling point and method
- date, time, and sample identification number for each sample collected
- type and matrix of sample being collected (i.e., grab or composite, water or wastewater)
- scheduled analyses for each sample collected
- qualitative indication of sample turbidity and color
- field parameter measurements, other sample survey information, and time they were measured
- lot numbers and expiration dates for calibration solutions and gases
- equipment manufacturer, model number, and serial number
- names of sampling personnel and HPT
- radiological screening results for each sample
- deviations from procedure described in this SAP
- daily signatures for each person making logbook entries
- sketches, drawings, if appropriate
- record of the vehicle number used to transport the samples and the destination of the samples
- chain-of-custody numbers cross referenced to sample identification numbers

- any other pertinent information (e.g., note deviations from the intended sampling method)

All sampling personnel must sign and date each page of logbook entries. All changes to logbook entries must be initialed. During field activities, the field logbook will be kept under the control of the field team. Upon completion of the field effort, the field logbook will be managed in accordance with QR 17.0, "Quality Assurance Records" (WHC 1989).

3.4 EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION

All metal and glassware used in the sample collection that are not certified "pre-cleaned" will be decontaminated prior to first use, then dedicated to a specific sampling point [per Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Groundwater Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document, 1986, referenced in Chapter 11 of EPA 1986]. Decontamination will consist of:

- trisodium phosphate and tap water wash
- deionized water rinse
- isopropyl alcohol rinse
- deionized water rinse
- nitric acid rinse (10 percent solution)
- HPLC-grade water.

The isopropyl alcohol, nitric acid, wash water, and deionized water rinsate will be collected in separate labeled containers for disposal according to applicable regulations.

As discussed previously in Subsection 3.2, Sample Collection, an equipment blank will be collected by conducting the third rinse with HPLC-grade organic-free water and collecting the rinsate for analysis.

Field meter sensors [e.g., pH, dissolved oxygen (D.O.), and specific conductance probes] used to monitor the process wastewater will be rinsed with deionized water after measurement.

At the end of each sampling event, all equipment exposed to the process wastewater will be decontaminated by washing in a mild trisodium phosphate solution and rinsing with deionized water. This wash water will be disposed of according to applicable regulations.

3.5 SAMPLE CUSTODY AND TRANSPORT

Samples will be routed to the selected contractor or subcontractor laboratory for analysis consistent with Section 8.0 of the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992) and SW-846 (EPA 1986). Samples of the 200 Area process wastewater will be shipped by overnight air courier to a designated analytical laboratory. The overnight courier will pick up the sample shipments at Building 1163.

All samples will be packaged for shipment in iced coolers. Radiological screening of a representative portion of each sample delivery group will be conducted by the laboratory in Building 222 S (see Subsection 3.8 for more information on radiological screening). A chain-of-custody record will be completed to accompany each cooler shipped or delivered to the laboratory from the field. (A copy of a typical chain-of-custody record is provided in Appendix A.) At a minimum, the following information will be provided on the chain-of-custody record by the sample team:

- all information required under Section 6.1 of the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992)
- chain-of-custody number
- project name and number
- customer name
- project manager
- sampler's name and title
- sample location
- sample identification
- date and time of sample collection

- type of sample (i.e., grab or composite)
- requested analyses
- number of containers
- type of container, preservative, and sample volume
- signatures of all persons having custody of the sample from collection until receipt by the laboratory
- inclusive dates and times of sample possession
- courier name and airbill number (remarks column)
- corresponding Sample Authorization Form number(s)
- other remarks as required

In addition, the laboratory identification number(s) will be entered on the chain-of-custody record by the laboratory sample custodian when the samples arrive at the laboratory. A copy of the signed chain-of-custody record with laboratory-assigned identification numbers written in the appropriate column should be returned to the OSM or designee.

The chain-of-custody record will be completed in ink. Any required corrections to the information provided on the chain-of-custody record will be made by drawing a line through the erroneous information, entering the correct information, and initialing and dating the change. The erroneous information should remain legible. Any unused sections of the form will have zigzag lines drawn through them to indicate information is not missing.

The original signature copy of the chain-of-custody record will be enclosed in a self-sealing plastic bag with the Offsite Property Control Form and Total Activity Report (see Subsection 3.8) and secured to the inside of the cooler lid. Typical sample container packaging includes the following steps. Adhesive labels on the sample bottles will be completed in waterproof ink and covered with clear plastic tape. The glass sample containers will be packaged in bubble wrap or in customized foam packing to protect them from accidental breakage during shipment. Sample bottles will be placed in individual self-sealing bags to contain leakage and then placed in a cooler. Ice (packaged in self-sealing bags) will be placed around the sample containers to keep samples cool during

shipment to the laboratory. Remaining cooler space will be filled with bubble wrap. A copy of the chain-of-custody record will be retained by the sample team leader and placed in the bound field logbook. The HPT in the shipping and receiving area of Building 1163 will monitor each cooler for alpha, beta, and radon radiation of the external packaging prior to release to a courier. Final sample package preparation will include:

- sealing the drain plug and lid seam with waterproof tape
- attaching a minimum of two chain-of-custody seals in a way that they would be broken if the cooler was opened
- attaching a shipping label
- attaching the WHC Offsite Property Control Form and the courier's shipping papers to the lid.

As an identifying measure, each cooler will be given a unique name written with waterproof ink on the top and side of the cooler. Each time a cooler changes possession, both the person relinquishing and the person accepting custody must sign and date the chain-of-custody record. As long as the custody record is sealed inside the sample cooler and chain-of-custody seals remain intact, representatives of courier companies will not be required to sign the custody record. Shipping papers provide documentation of custody for the courier company

A sample team member will telephone the laboratory each time a sample delivery group is shipped. The laboratory will be informed of the number of samples that will be arriving, the expected arrival time, and the analyses that will be required. Laboratory notification will be documented in the bound field logbook by the team member making the telephone call. The sample custodian receiving the samples at the laboratory shall sign and date the chain-of-custody record to acknowledge receipt of the samples. Once the samples are received at the laboratory, laboratory personnel will be responsible for maintaining internal logbooks and records that document sample custody throughout sample preparation and analysis. Further details on laboratory chain-of-custody are provided in the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992). The sample team leader will transmit all shipping documentation (i.e., chain-of-custody record, Total Activity Report and Off-site Property Control Form, courier, and air bill number) by facsimile to OSM or a designee within 24 hours of sample shipment. The laboratory will transmit by facsimile to OSM or a designee, copies of the shipping documentation with the laboratory receipt signature within 24 hours of receiving the samples. OSM or the designee will inform the sample team leader that it was received.

3.6 SPLIT SAMPLES

EPA and Ecology may elect to collect split samples independent of the sampling effort described in this SAP. Advance written request will be needed to obtain proper clearance to these areas if EPA or Ecology exercises this option.

3.7 FIELD EQUIPMENT PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE

Preventive maintenance for field equipment will be carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended procedures and schedules. The manufacturer's operating and calibration guidelines for field instruments are available in the operations and maintenance manuals for the specific equipment. Spare parts can include batteries, replacement check valve and ball for bailers, and spare pH, dissolved oxygen, and conductivity probes. A suitable inventory of spare parts shall be maintained, as recommended by the manufacturer.

3.8 RADIOLOGICAL SCREENING

Environmental samples being sent from the Hanford site must undergo a radiological screening analysis to monitor for radiological activity exceeding the Hanford Site Release Limits. This requirement applies to all samples collected as part of this project. Arrangements will be made with the 222 S Laboratory to meet this requirement and to meet the shipping deadline dictated by the shortest analytical holding time of 24 hours (see Table 2.2) and the shipping schedule at Building 1163. Note that the sulfite holding times is 24 hours; fecal and total coliform holding times are 30 hours.

A HPT will field-screen the samples prior to their leaving the sample area. In addition, approximately 100 ml of the wastewater sample from each sample point will be collected in plastic sample containers and delivered to the laboratory at Building 222 S. The analytical results (the Total Activity Report) will be transmitted from the laboratory by facsimile machine to a predetermined Hanford site building where the field sample team will pick it up. If the sample exhibits radioactivity at levels below the Hanford Site Release Limits, the sample team may take the samples (packaged in a cooler and ready for shipment in accordance with applicable Department of Transportation regulations, 49 CFR) to the Hanford Shipping and Receiving office at Building 1163 in the 1100 Area. In order for the samples to leave the site on the same day as collected, the samples and the radiological screening results must arrive at Building 1163 by 1:30 p.m. to allow adequate time for shipping and receiving personnel to prepare and sign an Off-site Property Control Form. The resident HPT in the shipping and receiving area will monitor the sample packaging for radiation prior to its release to an overnight courier. Copies of the Total Activity Report and Offsite Property Control Form must accompany

the chain-of-custody record in the cooler (see Section 3.5). Copies of all three will be retained by the sample team leader and placed in the field logbook and sample file.

9 3 1 2 7 6 3 0 5 1 8

4.0 ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS AND PROCEDURES

The chemical parameters for analysis include the groundwater monitoring list (40 CFR 264, Appendix IX), three Hanford-specific parameters (hydrazine, ethylene glycol, and citrus red No. 2), and water quality parameters as required by the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992). Table 2.2 lists proposed analytical parameters. Tables C.1 through C.7 in Appendix C list specific Appendix IX parameters and the target detection limits for these parameters. Table C.7 lists target detection limits (based on EPA 1986) for the other parameters; the target detection limits may be redefined after final laboratory selection by OSM or designee. Actual detection limits depend on the nature of the matrix and will be reported for each parameter. The number of analytes may be reduced after a baseline is established (e.g., after the results of Week 2 of Field Activity 2 or Field Activity 3 are analyzed).

The analytical methodology will be based on standard EPA methods; the methodology has been described in the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992). Standard method references for the parameters are listed in Table 2.2. The analytical quality control procedures will be per the individual EPA analytical method requirements and the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992) (see Section 10.0).

5.0 REFERENCES

40 CFR 264 Appendix IX
49 CFR

APHA-AWWA-WPCF. 1989. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 17th edition. Washington, D.C.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1988. General Environmental Protection Program, DOE Order 5400.1.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1983. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. Cincinnati, Ohio.

U.S. EPA. 1986. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste. SW 846. 3rd edition. Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1989. Laboratory Validation Functional Guideline. Report No. HQ-8410-01. Contract No. 658-01-6638. Washington, D.C.

Washington State Department of Ecology et al. 1989. Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order.

Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC). 1988. Industrial Safety Manual. WHC-CM-4-3, Volumes 1, 2, and 3. Richland, Washington.

Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC). 1989. Environmental Investigations and Site Characterization Manual. WHC-CM-7-7. Richland, Washington.

Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC). 1990. 284 W Powerplant Wastewater Stream-Specific Report. WHC-EP-0342 Addendum 27. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy. August. Richland, Washington.

Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC). 1991. Facility Effluent Monitoring Plan for the 284 E and 284 W Power Plants. WHC-EP-0472. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy. November. Richland, Washington.

Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC). 1992. Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Project Plan. WHC-SD-WM-QAPP-011. Rev. 1. April. Richland, Washington.

APPENDIX A

TYPICAL CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY FORM

9 3 1 2 7 3 3 0 5 2 1

A.2

WHC-SD-MM-PLN-033 REV 1

APPENDIX B

EVALUATION OF AVAILABLE DATA

93127630523

APPENDIX BEVALUATION OF AVAILABLE DATA

To develop a defensible sampling strategy and rationale, the available existing data had to be reviewed and evaluated. WHC sampled wastewater from the 284 W powerplant, including water softener regeneration and mud drum blowdown and specific streams constituting batch input to the powerplant continuous blowdown. Analytical data of detected analytes, tabulated in the Operations Support Services Department August 1990 report, "284 W Powerplant Wastewater Stream-Specific Report" (WHC 1990), have been evaluated in preparation of the proposed sampling program. Table B.1 summarizes the sampling dates and count of analyses for each of the three streams across the chemical classes of inorganic/metals, radioactivity, organic compounds, including volatile and semivolatiles, and water quality.

Samples from the two batch blowdown streams and from the continuous blowdown were collected, independently, over three time periods. Mud drum blowdown was sampled between September 1985 and January 1987. Parameters quantified and detected represented between 4 and 7 sampling events over this time frame and consisted of predominantly inorganics and metals and the two measures of gross radioactivity. Limited subsets of organic (4 analytes) and water quality (3 analytes) parameters were detected over the period the mud drum blowdown was sampled. In November 1987, a similar subset of parameters were quantified and detected from samples collected from the softener regeneration stream. Between November 1987 and April 1988, analytes were quantified from a single to a maximum of eight samples. Sampling of the continuous blowdown was begun in July 1988 and continued through March 1990. The set of analytes quantified and detected was more extensive, particularly in terms of water quality parameters. Sampling and/or detection frequencies varied among parameters with the majority of detected parameters represented by nine observations over the 20-month period.

The lack of overlap in the sampling periods for the three discharge components limits interpretation of the available data. Parameter concentrations from the continuous blowdown, collected when neither of the batch blowdown streams are discharged, underestimates contaminant levels that would occur at least occasionally (during batch discharge of boiler and regenerate blowdown). Sampling these streams independently could provide a worst case for treatment designs. However, these are only required if worst-case concentrations reaching the 284 WB percolation pond exceed acceptable levels.

Table B.1
Westinghouse 284-W Powerplant Sampling Summary
Data Summary

Page 1 of 3

| Sample Dates | 07/88 - 03/90 | 11/87 - 04/88 | 09/85 - 01/87 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Analytical Class | Number of Samples | Number of Samples | Number of Samples |
| Analytical Parameter | Continuous Blowdown | Softener Regeneration | Boiler Blowdown |
| Inorganic/Metal | | | |
| Alkalinity | 4 | | |
| Aluminum | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Ammonia | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Arsenic | 4 | | |
| Barium | 13 | 4 | 5 |
| Boron | 5 | | |
| Cadmium | 13 | 4 | 5 |
| Calcium | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Chloride | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Chromium | 4 | | 5 |
| Cobalt | 5 | | |
| Copper | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Fluoride | 18 | 8 | |
| Iron | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Lead | 13 | 4 | 3 |
| Lithium | 5 | | |
| Magnesium | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Manganese | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Mercury | 13 | | 5 |
| Nickel | | 4 | |
| Nitrate | 9 | | 5 |
| Phosphate | | | 5 |
| Potassium | 9 | 4 | 5 |

Table B.1
Westinghouse 284-W Powerplant Sampling Summary
Data Summary

Page 2 of 3

| Sample Dates | 07/88 - 03/90 | 11/87 - 04/88 | 09/85 - 01/87 |
|--|--|--|--|
| Analytical Class Analytical Parameter | Number of Samples Continuous Blowdown | Number of Samples Softener Regeneration | Number of Samples Boiler Blowdown |
| Reactivity Cyanide | 4 | | |
| Reactivity Sulfide | 4 | | |
| Selenium | 4 | | |
| Silicon | 5 | | |
| Silver | 4 | | |
| Sodium | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Strontium | 9 | 4 | |
| Sulfate | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Uranium | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Vanadium | 9 | | |
| Zinc | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Radioactivity | | | |
| Alpha Activity | | 2 | 4 |
| Beta Activity | 7 | 3 | 5 |
| Organic | | | |
| TOC | 9 | 2 | 5 |
| Total Carbon | 4 | | |
| TOX (as Cl) | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Volatile Organic (VOA) | | | |
| 2-Butanone | 22 | | |
| Dichloromethane | | 8 | 7 |
| Pentachloroethane | 22 | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | | 8 | |

Table B.1
Westinghouse 284-W Powerplant Sampling Summary
Data Summary

Page 3 of 3

| Sample Dates | 07/88 - 03/90 | 11/87 - 04/88 | 09/85 - 01/87 |
|---|--|--|--|
| Analytical Class Analytical Parameter | Number of Samples Continuous Blowdown | Number of Samples Softener Regeneration | Number of Samples Boiler Blowdown |
| Trichloromethane | 22 | 8 | 7 |
| Semivolatile Organic (Acid/Base Neutral) | | | |
| Diacetone Alcohol | | 1 | |
| Hexadecanoic Acid | 1 | | |
| Pentachloroethane | 1 | | |
| 1,2,3,3-Tetrachloropropene | 1 | | |
| Unknown ABN | 2 | | |
| Water Quality | | | |
| Conductivity | 9 | 3 | 5 |
| Ignitability | 4 | | |
| pH | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Suspended Solids | 4 | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 4 | | |
| Temperature | 9 | 4 | 5 |


Coincidental sampling will address these data gaps and provide a more complete understanding of the relative influence of intermittent sources on contaminant levels in the powerplant and entire 284 discharge.

Inspection of available results from the continuous blowdown indicated that several parameters, primarily inorganics, exhibited pronounced increases in the levels detected from the final sample collected in March 1990. Levels of these parameters (including barium, cadmium, calcium, chloride, magnesium, mercury, potassiums, sodium, strontium, conductivity, and TDS) ranged between 5 and 1,000 times levels previously recorded, levels that approached concentrations found in the water softener regeneration samples collected two to three years earlier.

Figures B.1 and B.2 present time series of a subset of parameters: calcium, chloride and conductivity, and gross alpha radiation, gross beta radiation and conductivity, respectively. The time axis represents the time period between the first mud drum blowdown sample collected (September 1985) and the final continuous discharge sample collected (March 1990), approximately 60 months. The axis is divided into sequential months. The points have been coded B for boiler blowdown, S for softener regeneration and C for continuous routine discharge sample results. Data have been transformed to natural logs to facilitate interpretation. Where Washington groundwater quality criteria exist, the criterion level has been indicated with a broken line.


The time series plots illustrate the following:

- There are no data where batch blowdowns (S and B) and continuous blowdown (C) coincide. Data from two batch blowdowns were collected between September 1985 and January 1987 and November 1987 and April 1988, respectively. Continuous blowdown sampling occurred both prior to (July 1988 to March 1989) and following (November 1989 to March 1990) implementation of a rigorous data validation program in October 1989. Because of the timing of previous studies, no relationship can be drawn between batch blowdowns, continuous blowdown, and the combined wastewater stream leaving the 284 W powerhouse.
- The same suite of parameters was not measured in the different wastewater streams. For example, gross alpha and beta were reported for water softener regeneration, but gross alpha was not measured in the continuous blowdown. The specific source of gross alpha cannot be related to a particular process because the same parameters were not measured in each of the different source waste streams.




284-W WASTEWATER CALCIUM [LN]

NO CRITERION



284-W WASTEWATER CHLORIDE [LN]

---- WASHINGTON 2° MCL



284-W WASTEWATER CONDUCTIVITY [LN]

NO CRITERION


FIGURE B.1. 284 W Power Plant Monitoring Data: September 1985–March 1990. Conductivity/Water Quality

LEGEND

- B Mud drum blowdown
- S Water softener regeneration
- C Continuous blowdown
- pCi/l picoCuries per liter
- µS microSiemens


B.7

93127530529




284-W WASTEWATER GROSS ALPHA [LN]

---- WASHINGTON RAD GWQC



284-W WASTEWATER GROSS BETA [LN]

---- WASHINGTON RAD GWQC



284-W WASTEWATER CONDUCTIVITY [LN]

NO CRITERION

FIGURE B.2. 284 E Power Plant Monitoring Data: September 1985–March 1990. Conductivity/Radiological Activity

LEGEND

- B** Mud drum blowdown
- S** Water softener regeneration
- C** Continuous blowdown
- pCi/l** picoCuries per liter
- µS** microSiemens

93127330530

- Because the ponds may be recharging groundwater, the Washington groundwater standards (WAC 173-200) were compared to existing data. WAC 173-200-040(2)(b) states that the most stringent criteria should be used for: groundwater quality criteria, federal maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs), federal maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), or the state MCLs. Chloride, conductivity, alpha, and beta criteria (dotted lines on Figures B.1 and B.2) are exceeded by some and/or all waste streams monitored to date. The criterion for chloride is the Washington State Secondary Drinking Water Standard (SDWS) MCL. The gross alpha and beta criteria are the Washington State Groundwater Quality Criteria.
- In general, concentrations from the water softener regeneration (S) are much greater than the mud drum blowdown (B) which, in turn, is comparable to concentrations measured in the continuous blowdown (C).
- Conductivity seems to provide a field measurement which tracks variations in other parameters. This relationship may be useful in finalizing sample locations in the field.

In addition, preliminary examination of WHC data indicates that a more extensive analysis may detect parameters that we have not yet evaluated. For example, although pentachloroethane (a semivolatile compound) was quantified by volatile analysis on eight dates, it was detected only when analyzed as a semivolatile. It is anticipated that more parameters will be detected when the 40 CFR 264 Appendix IX list is used for sample analysis.

APPENDIX C

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS

93127330532

Table C.1
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Volatile Organics
Method 8240^a

Page 1 of 3

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Acetone | 25 |
| Acetonitrile | 100 |
| Acrolein | 100 |
| Acrylonitrile | 100 |
| Allyl chloride | 5.0 |
| Benzene | 5.0 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 5.0 |
| Bromoform | 5.0 |
| Bromomethane (methylbromide) | 10 |
| Carbon disulfide | 5.0 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 5.0 |
| Chlorobenzene | 5.0 |
| Chloroprene | 5.0 |
| Chloroethane | 10 |
| Chlorodibromomethane | 5.0 |
| Chloroform | 5.0 |
| Chloromethane | 10 |
| DBCP (1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane) | 5.0 |
| EDB (1,2-dibromoethane) | 5.0 |

Table C.1
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Volatile Organics
Method 8240^a

Page 2 of 3

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Dibromomethane | 5.0 |
| trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene | 5.0 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 10 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 5.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 5.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (total) | 5.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 5.0 |
| Dichloromethane | 25 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 5.0 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 5.0 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 5.0 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 100 |
| Ethyl benzene | 5.0 |
| Ethyl cyanide | 5.0 |
| 2-Hexanone | 10 |
| Iodomethane | 5.0 |
| Isobutyl alcohol | 100 |
| Methacrylonitrile | 5.0 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (2-butanone) | 25 |

Table C.1
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Volatile Organics
Method 8240^a

Page 3 of 3

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|---------------------------|---|
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 10 |
| Styrene | 5.0 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 5.0 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 5.0 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 5.0 |
| Toluene | 5.0 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 5.0 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 5.0 |
| Trichloroethene | 5.0 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 5.0 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 5.0 |
| Vinyl acetate | 10 |
| Vinyl chloride | 10 |
| Total xylenes | 5.0 |

^aMethod 8240 must be modified to include all the listed parameters. The method is from EPA 1986.

Table C.2
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Semivolatile Organics
Method 8270^a

Page 1 of 7

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|---|---|
| Acenaphthene | 10 |
| Acenaphthylene | 10 |
| Acetophenone | 10 |
| 2-Acetylaminofluorene | 10 |
| 4-Aminobiphenyl | 10 |
| Aniline | 10 |
| Aramite | 10 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 10 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 10 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 10 |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 10 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 10 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 20 |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 10 |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 10 |
| 2-sec-Butyl-4,6-dinitrophenol (Dinoseb) | 10 |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 20 |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 20 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 10 |

Table C.2
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Semivolatile Organics
Method 8270^a

Page 2 of 7

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| 2-Chlorophenol | 10 |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 10 |
| o-Cresol | 10 |
| m & p-Cresol(s) | 10 |
| Chrysene | 10 |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | 10 |
| Dibenzofuran | 10 |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 10 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 10 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 10 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 10 |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | 20 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 10 |
| 2,6-Dichlorophenol | 10 |
| Diethyl phthalate | 10 |
| Dimethoate | -- |
| p-Dimethylaminoazobenzene | 10 |
| 7,12-Dimethylbenzanthracene | 10 |
| 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine | 10 |

Table C.2
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Semivolatile Organics
Method 8270^a

Page 3 of 7

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| a,a-Dimethylphenethylamine | 10 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 10 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 10 |
| 1,3-Dinitrobenzene | 10 |
| 4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol | 50 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 50 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 10 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 10 |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 10 |
| Diphenylamine | 10 |
| Disulfoton | -- |
| Dimethoate | -- |
| bis(2-chloroethyl)Ether | 10 |
| bis(2-chloroisopropyl)Ether | 10 |
| Ethyl methacrylate | 10 |
| Ethyl methanesulfonate | 10 |
| Ethyl parathion | -- |
| Famphur | -- |
| Fluoranthene | 10 |

Table C.2
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Semivolatile Organics
Method 8270^a

Page 4 of 7

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|----------------------------|---|
| Fluorene | 10 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 10 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 10 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 10 |
| Hexachloroethane | 10 |
| Hexachlorophen | -- |
| Hexachloropropene | 20 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene | 10 |
| Isophorone | 10 |
| Isosafrole | 20 |
| bis(2-chloroethoxy)Methane | 10 |
| Methapyrilene | 10 |
| 3-Methylcholanthrene | 20 |
| Methyl methacrylate | 10 |
| Methyl methanesulfonate | 10 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 10 |
| Methyl parathion | 10 |
| Naphthalene | 10 |
| 1,4-Naphthoquinone | 10 |

Table C.2
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Semivolatile Organics
Method 8270^a

Page 5 of 7

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|----------------------------|---|
| 1-Naphthylamine | 10 |
| 2-Naphthylamine | 10 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 50 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 50 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 50 |
| Nitrobenzene | 10 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 10 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 50 |
| 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide | -- |
| N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine | 01 |
| N-Nitrosodiethylamine | 10 |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 01 |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 10 |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 10 |
| N-Nitrosomethylethylamine | 10 |
| N-Nitrosomorpholine | 10 |
| N-Nitrosopiperidine | 10 |
| N-Nitrosopyrrolidine | 10 |
| 5-Nitro-o-toluidine | 10 |

Table C.2
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Semivolatile Organics
Method 8270^a

Page 6 of 7

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|----------------------------|---|
| Pentachlorobenzene | 10 |
| Pentachloroethane | 10 |
| Pentachloronitrobenzene | 50 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 50 |
| Phenacetin | 10 |
| Phenanthrene | 10 |
| Phenol | 10 |
| p-Phenylenediamine | -- |
| Phorate (Thimet) | -- |
| bis(2-ethylhexyl)Phthalate | 10 |
| 2-Picoline | 10 |
| Pronamide | 20 |
| Pyrene | 10 |
| Pyridine | -- |
| Safrole | 10 |
| Sulfotepp | -- |
| 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene | 10 |
| 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol | 20 |
| Thionazin | -- |

Table C.2
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Semivolatile Organics
Method 8270^a

Page 7 of 7

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (µg/l) |
|---|---|
| o-Toluidine | 10 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | -- |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 10 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 50 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 10 |
| o,o,o-Triethylphosphorothioate | -- |
| sym-Trinitrobenzene | 10 |
| ^a Method 8270 must be modified to include all of the indicated parameters. Method 8270 is from EPA 1986. | |

Table C.3
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Organochlorine Pesticides/PCBs
Method 8080^a

Page 1 of 2

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (mg/l) |
|---------------------|---|
| Aldrin | 0.050 |
| Aroclor-1016 | 0.50 |
| Aroclor-1221 | 0.50 |
| Aroclor-1232 | 0.50 |
| Aroclor-1242 | 0.50 |
| Aroclor-1248 | 0.50 |
| Aroclor-1254 | 1.0 |
| Aroclor-1260 | 1.0 |
| alpha-BHC | 0.050 |
| beta-BHC | 0.050 |
| delta-BHC | 0.050 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.050 |
| Chlordane | 0.50 |
| Chlorobenzilate | 0.50 |
| 4,4'-DDD | 0.10 |
| 4,4'-DDE | 0.10 |
| 4,4'-DDT | 0.10 |
| Diallate | 1.5 |
| Dieldrin | 0.10 |
| Endosulfan I | 0.050 |
| Endosulfan II | 0.10 |

Table C.3
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Organochlorine Pesticides/PCBs
Method 8080^a

Page 2 of 2

| Parameter | Target Water Quantitation Limit (mg/l) |
|--|---|
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.10 |
| Endrin | 0.10 |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.10 |
| Heptachlor | 0.050 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.050 |
| Isodrin | 0.050 |
| Kepone | 0.10 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.50 |
| Toxaphene | 1.0 |
| ^a Method 8080 must be modified to include all of the listed parameters. Method 8080 is from EPA 1986. | |

Table C.4
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Herbicides
Method 8150^a

| Parameter | Target Water Detection Limit (µg/l) |
|---|--|
| 2,4-D(2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) | 12 |
| 2,4,5-T (2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid) | 2 |
| 2,4,5-TP (Silvex; 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxypropanoic acid) | 2 |
| ^a Method 8150 is from EPA 1986. | |

93127330545

Table C.5
40 CFR 264 Appendix IX Dioxins and Furans
Method 8280^a

| Parameter | Target Practical Quantitation Limits (ng/l) |
|---|--|
| Dioxins | |
| Total tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins | 10 |
| 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin | 5 |
| Total pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins | 10 |
| Total hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins | 10 |
| Total heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin ^b | -- |
| Octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin ^b | -- |
| Furans | |
| Total tetrachlorodibenzofurans | 10 |
| Total pentachlorodibenzofurans | 10 |
| Total hexachlorodibenzofurans | 10 |
| Total heptachlorodibenzofuran ^b | -- |
| Octachlorodibenzofuran ^b | -- |
| ^a Method 8280 is from EPA 1986. ^b These parameters are not on the 40 CFR 246 Appendix IX list, but have been added in accordance with the Liquid Effluent QAPP (WHC 1992). | |

Table C.6
Metals

Page 1 of 2

| Parameter | Target Water Detection Limit (mg/l) | Analytical Method^a |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Appendix IX Metals | | |
| Antimony | 0.032 | 6010 |
| Arsenic | 0.001 | 7060 |
| Barium | 0.002 | 6010 |
| Beryllium | 0.0003 | 6010 |
| Cadmium | 0.004 | 6010 |
| Chromium | 0.007 | 6010 |
| Cobalt | 0.007 | 6010 |
| Copper | 0.006 | 6010 |
| Lead | 0.001 | 7421, 7420 |
| Mercury | 0.0002 | 7470 |
| Nickel | 0.015 | 6010 |
| Selenium | 0.002 | 7740 |
| Silver | 0.007 | 6010 |
| Thallium | 0.001 | 7841 |
| Tin | 0.8 | 7870 |
| Vanadium | 0.008 | 6010 |
| Zinc | 0.002 | 6010 |
| Additional Metals | | |
| Aluminum | 0.045 | 6010 |
| Calcium | 0.01 | 7140 |

| Table C.6 Metals | | | Page 2 of 2 |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Parameter | Target Water Detection Limit (mg/l) | Analytical Method ^a | |
| Iron | 0.03 | 7380 | |
| Magnesium | 0.001 | 7450 | |
| Manganese | 0.01 | 7460 | |
| Potassium | 0.01 | 7610 | |
| Sodium | 0.002 | 7770 | |
| ^a Methods are from EPA 1986. | | | |

93127530549

| Table C.7 General Parameters | | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| Parameter | Target Water Detection Limit (mg/l) | Analytical Method |
| Appendix IX Parameters | | |
| Cyanide, Total | 0.01 | 335 ^a |
| Sulfide, Total | 0.05 | 376 ^a |
| Additional Parameters | | |
| Alkalinity | 1.0 | 310 ^a |
| Ammonia | 0.01 | 350 ^a |
| Chloride | 1.0 | 325 ^a |
| COD (chemical oxygen demand) | 9 | 410 ^a |
| Coliform (fecal) | 1 colony/100 ml. | 908C ^c |
| Coliform (total) | 1 colony/100 ml. | 908A ^c |
| Conductivity | -- | 9050 ^b |
| Cyanide (amenable) | 0.01 | 335 ^a |
| Fluoride | 0.1 | 340 ^a |
| Nitrate | 0.03 | 352 ^a |
| Nitrite | 0.02 | 354 ^a |
| pH | -- | 9040 ^b |
| Phenols (total) | -- | 420 ^a |
| Sulfate | 1.0 | 375 ^a |
| Sulfite | | 377 ^a |
| TOC (total organic carbon) | 1.0 | 9060 ^b |
| TOX (total organic halogens) | 0.1 | 9020 ^b |
| Turbidity | -- | 180 ^a |
| ^a Methods are from EPA 1983. ^b Methods are from EPA 1986. ^c Methods are APHA 1989. | | |


APPENDIX C REFERENCES

APHA-AWWA-WPCF. 1989. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 17th edition. Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1983. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste. Cincinnati, Ohio.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste. SW846. 3rd edition. Washington, D.C.

Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC). 1992. Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Project Plan, WHC-SD-WM-QAPP-011, Rev. 1. April. Richland, Washington.

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Date Received: 4-29-92 | INFORMATION RELEASE REQUEST | Reference: WHC-CM-3-4 |
| Complete for all Types of Release | | |
| Purpose <input type="checkbox"/> Speech or Presentation <input type="checkbox"/> Full Paper <input type="checkbox"/> Summary <input type="checkbox"/> Abstract <input type="checkbox"/> Visual Aid <input type="checkbox"/> Speakers Bureau <input type="checkbox"/> Poster Session <input type="checkbox"/> Videotape (Check only one suffix) | | <input type="checkbox"/> Reference <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Report <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis or Dissertation <input type="checkbox"/> Manual <input type="checkbox"/> Brochure/Flier <input type="checkbox"/> Software/Database <input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Document <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| ID Number (include revision, volume, etc.) WHC-SD-WM-PLN-033 Rev 1 | | List attachments. N/A |
| Date Release Required | | |
| Title Sampling and Analysis Plan for the 284W Area Powerplant and 277W Fabrication Shop Process Wastewater Streams | | Unclassified Category UC- |
| Impact Level 1 EQ | | |
| New or novel (patentable) subject matter? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If "Yes", has disclosure been submitted by WHC or other company? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Disclosure No(s). | | Information received from others in confidence, such as proprietary data, trade secrets, and/or inventions? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Identify) |
| Copyrights? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If "Yes", has written permission been granted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Attach Permission) | | Trademarks? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (Identify) Grace Dearborn |
| Complete for Speech or Presentation | | |
| Title of Conference or Meeting | | Group or Society Sponsoring |
| Date(s) of Conference or Meeting | City/State | Will proceedings be published? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Will material be handed out? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Title of Journal | | |
| CHECKLIST FOR SIGNATORIES | | |
| Review Required per WHC-CM-3-4 Classification/Uncontrolled Nuclear Information Patent - General Counsel Legal - General Counsel Applied Technology/Export Controlled Information or International Program WHC Program/Project Communications RL Program/Project Publication Services Other Program/Project | Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> | Reviewer - Signature Indicates Approval Name (printed) Signature Date D.R. Herman <i>K Basel for DR Herman</i> 4/29/92 B.D. Williamson <i>DM Nguyen for (re-telecom)</i> 4/29/92 B.D. Williamson <i>DM Nguyen for (re-telecom)</i> 4/29/92 D.M. Nguyen <i>DM Nguyen</i> 4/29/92 William H. White <i>William H. White</i> 4/29/92 M.R. Turner <i>M.R. Turner</i> 4/29/92 J.E. Hyatt <i>J.E. Hyatt</i> 4/29/92 |
| Information conforms to all applicable requirements. The above information is certified to be correct. | | |
| References Available to Intended Audience <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Transmit to DOE-HQ/Office of Scientific and Technical Information <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Author/Requestor (Printed/Signature) D.R. Herman <i>K Basel for DR Herman</i> 4/29/92 Intended Audience <input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Sponsor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External Responsible Manager (Printed/Signature) E. Yusis <i>E. Yusis</i> 4-29-92 | | INFORMATION RELEASE ADMINISTRATION APPROVAL STAMP Stamp is required before release. Release is contingent upon resolution of mandatory comments.  |
| Date Cancelled | | Date Disapproved |